

1 Some Results In Time Series Modeling And Analysis

1.1 Extended Sample *A.C.F*'s and Model Identification

Tsay & Tiao - JASA '79 1984-84-96

Consider the possibly non-stationary *ARMA* (p, q) model:

$$\Phi(B) Z_t = \theta(B) a_t$$

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi(B) &= 1 - \psi_1 B \dots - \psi_p B^p \\ &= U(B) \psi(B)\end{aligned}$$

$$U(B) = 1 - u_1 B \dots - u_d B^d$$

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(B) &= 1 - \psi_1 B \dots - \psi_{p-d} B^{p-d} \\ \theta(B) &= 1 - \theta_1 B \dots - \theta_q B^q\end{aligned}$$

B is the backshift operator $\{a_t\}$ *i.i.d. Gaussian* $(0, \sigma_a^2)$.

Zeros of $u(B)$ - **on** unit circle

Zeros of $\psi(B)$ - **outside** unit circle

Zeros of $\theta(B)$ - **outside** unit circle

$\Phi(B)$ and $\theta(B)$ have no common factors.

For Z_t non-stationary, Z_t begins at t_0 .

If $U(B) = (1 - B)^d$, the model is equivalent to an *ARIMA* ($p - d, d, q$)

O.L.S. estimates of ψ are **consistent** if:

$$d = 0, \quad q = 0.$$

Mann & Wald '43.

Objective:

- A) Define **consistent**, **iterative** *O.L.S.* estimates in the general case.
- B) “Identify” the model.

Consider:

$$Z_t = \sum_{l=1}^p \Phi_{l(p)}^{(0)} Z_{t-l} + e_{p,t}^{(0)}$$

$$t = p + 1, p + 2, \dots, n$$

Let $\hat{\Phi}_{l(p)}^{(0)}$ be the *O.L.S.* estimate and $\hat{e}_{p,t}^{(0)}$ is the *O.L.S.* residual; i.e.

$$\hat{e}_{p,t}^{(0)} = Z_t - \sum_{l=1}^p \hat{\Phi}_{l(p)}^{(0)} Z_{t-l}$$

contains information about the *M.A.* component.

Define:

$$Z_t = \sum_{l=1}^p \Phi_{l(p)}^{(1)} Z_{t-l} + \beta_{1(p)}^{(1)} \hat{e}_{p,t-1}^{(0)} + e_{p,t}^{(1)}$$

$$t = p + 2, \dots, n$$

$$\hat{e}_{p,t}^{(1)} = Z_t - \sum_{l=1}^p \hat{\Phi}_{l(p)}^{(1)} Z_{t-l} - \hat{\beta}_{1(p)}^{(1)} \hat{e}_{p,t-1}^{(0)}$$

This can be extended to $\hat{e}_{p,t}^{(q)}$ if there is a q th. order

$MA(\bullet)$.

$$\hat{\Phi}_{l(p)}^{(q)} \xrightarrow{P} \Phi_l, \quad l = 1, \dots, p.$$

Determining the order of the equations uses consistency and the fact that a pure $MA(q)$ process has a sample *a.c.f.* that “truncates” at lag q .

1.2 The Extended SACF (ESACF)

If $p = 0$,

$$Z_t \sim MA(q)$$

$$r_j(0) \doteq 0, \quad \forall j > q, \quad p = 0$$

If $p = 1$,

$$Z_t \sim ARMA(1, q)$$

$W_t = Z_t - \Phi_1 Z_{t-1}$ is (asymptotically) $MA(q)$, so that

$$r_j(1) \doteq 0, \quad \forall j > q, \quad p = 1$$

etc.

$$r_j(1) = r_j \left(W_{1,t}^{(j)} \right)$$

and

$$r_j(k) = r_j \left(W_{k,t}^{(j)} \right)$$

$$W_{k,t}^{(j)} = Z_t - \sum \Phi_{l(k)}^{(j)} Z_{t-l}$$

If p, q are unknown, the model tends to overfit both elements.

$$r_j(k) \neq 0 \text{ for } 0 \leq j - q \leq k - p$$

$$r_j(k) = 0 \text{ for } j - q > k - p \leq 0$$

1.3 A Comment About Sample Auto-Covariance

Let

$$\tilde{S}_\tau \equiv \frac{1}{N - |\tau|} \sum_1^{N-|\tau|} (X_t - \bar{X}) (X_{t+|\tau|} - \bar{X})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S}_\tau &\equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_1^{N-|\tau|} (X_t - \bar{X}) (X_{t+|\tau|} - \bar{X}) \\ &= \left(1 - \frac{|\tau|}{N}\right) \tilde{S}_\tau \end{aligned}$$

Let $\tilde{S}'_\tau, \hat{S}'_\tau$ be the same functions but with $\mu, E\{X_t\} = \mu$, replacing \bar{X} .

\hat{S}'_τ is called the “biased estimator”.

If $\{X_t\}$ is white noise,

$$S_\tau = \text{cov}(X_t, X_{t+|\tau|}) = 0, \quad \forall \tau \neq 0$$

therefore

$$E\{\tilde{S}_\tau\} = \frac{-S_0}{N}, \quad E\{\hat{S}_\tau\} = -\left(1 - \frac{|\tau|}{N}\right) \frac{S_0}{N}$$

$$\sum_{\tau = -(N-1)}^{N-1} \hat{S}_\tau = 0,$$

so contain a linear constraint not satisfied by S_τ .

For $\tau = 0$,

$$\hat{S}_0 = \tilde{S}_0 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_1^N (X_t - \bar{X})^2$$

$$E \left\{ \hat{S}_0 \right\} = S_0 - \text{var}(\bar{X}) ;$$

i.e. \hat{S}_0 underestimates the variance.

1.4 Bootstrapping in Regression

Freedman & Peters, JASA, 1989, 97-106.

c.f. Lele - JRSS, B. 1991, 53, 253-

Brad Efron, The Jackknife, Bootstrap, and Other Resampling Plans. SIAM, 1982

The principle involved is to treat a sample probability distribution function as if it were the theoretical probability distribution, and sample independently from it in order to provide a sequence of observations that can be **averaged**.

Key is the **assumption** of **independence** of the joint distribution, and that the requested sampling procedure should maintain that under independence.

But we still rely on $F_n(x)$, the sampling probability distribution function, not being a “low probability outcome.”

Now, if we are dealing with a time series, we **cannot** use the bootstrap **directly**, except in trivial cases.

With time series we can:

1) Use a **model** to **reduce** the problem implicitly to resampling of “uncorrelated” **residuals**.

2) Resample **functions** of the data that are uncorrelated, or for which the correlation procedure can be allowed for.

- **Lele** procedure.

1.5 The Freedman - Peters Solution

$$Y_t = Y_{t-1}B + X_tC + \varepsilon_t$$

$q \qquad q * q \qquad p * q$

Let

$$\hat{\varepsilon} = Y_t - Y_{t-1}\hat{B} - X_t\hat{C}$$

We assume $\{\varepsilon_t\}$ is *i.i.d.*

$\hat{\varepsilon}_t$ estimates $\{\varepsilon_t\}$ with probability distribution function

$$\mu = \frac{1}{n} \quad \forall t,$$

i.e. $\{\hat{\varepsilon}_t\}$ are **equally likely!**

The data used are:

$$\{Y_t\}, \{X_t\}, Y_0$$

We sample ε^* from μ and calculate:

$$Y_t^* = Y_{t-1}^*\hat{B} + X_t\hat{C} + \varepsilon_t^*$$
$$Y_0^* = Y_0, \quad \forall t.$$

We re-estimate \hat{B}^* , \hat{C}^* using $\{Y_t^*\}$, $\{X_t\}$, Y_0 .

A major finding is that conventional (analytic) asymptotics can be off by a factor of 3! - in context of *G.L.S.*

e.q.

$$Y = X_B + \varepsilon, \quad cov(\varepsilon) = \Sigma$$
$$\hat{B}_{glc} = \left(X' \Sigma^{-1} X \right)^{-1} X' \Sigma^{-1} Y$$

See the articles mentioned.

(Saved under a:,v: TimeSeries)